

**SUPREME COURT OF AZAD JAMMU AND KASHMIR**

[Appellate Jurisdiction]

**PRESENT:**

Raja Saeed Akram Khan, ACJ.

Civil PLA No.321 of 2019  
Civil Misc. No.148 of 2019  
(Filed on 27.02.2020)

Tahir Mehmood, Junior Technical Teacher B-9  
(Wood Work) Government Pilot High School  
Samahni, District Bhimber.

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. Azad Government through its Secretary Education, having its Office at New Secretariat, Muzaffarabad.
2. Secretary Education, Elementary and Secondary Education having his office at New Secretariat, Muzaffarabad.
3. Ehtesab Bureau through Chief Prosecutor Ehtesab Bureau, Muzaffarabad.
4. Director Complaint/Inquiries having his office at Upper Chatter Housing Scheme D-47, Muzaffarabad.
5. Director Investigation having his office at Upper Chatter Housing Scheme D-47, Muzaffarabad.
6. Deputy Director Investigation Ehtesab Bureau, Old Kachehri Road, Muzaffarabad.
7. Divisional Director Schools (Male), Mirpur Division, Mirpur.

8. District Education Officer (Male), District Bhimber.
9. Headmaster Government Pilot High School Samahni, District Bhimber.
10. District Account Officer, District Bhimber.

....RESPONDENTS

[On appeal from the judgment of the High Court dated 18.10.2019 in writ petition No.368/2018]

-----

(Application for interim relief)

FOR THE PETITIONER: Mr. M. Siddique Ch.,  
Advocate.

FOR THE RESPONDENTS: Sardar Amjad Aslam,  
Chief Prosecutor Ehtesab  
Bureau and Raja  
Saadat Ali Kiani, Addl.  
Advocate-General.

Date of hearing: 20.05.2020

### **JUDGMENT**

**Raja Saeed Akram Khan, ACJ**– At the very outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner was confronted that the only prayer of the petitioner before the High Court was to restrain the respondents from harassing the petitioner through malicious practice and no prayer regarding

restraining them from holding the inquiry has been made; he submitted that he has no objection if this petition is disposed of while restraining the respondents from harassing the petitioner. The learned Chief Prosecutor and the Additional Advocate-General agreed with the proposition and undertook that there will be no illegal harassment.

In this state of affairs, this petition along with the application for interim relief is disposed of with the observation that while holding the inquiry the respondents shall not harass the petitioner through any malicious practice. No order as to costs.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Mirpur,  
20.05.2020